## Case3:10-cv-00257-JSW Document34 Filed03/10/10 Page1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	JAMES R. McGUIRE (CA SBN 189275) JMcGuire@mofo.com GREGORY P. DRESSER (CA SBN 136532) GDresser@mofo.com RITA F. LIN (CA SBN 236220) RLin@mofo.com GRACE Y. PARK (CA SBN 239928) GracePark@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Telephone: 415.268.7000 Facsimile: 415.268.7522  JENNIFER C. PIZER (CA SBN 152327) JPizer@lambdalegal.org LAMBDA LEGAL, Western Regional Office 3325 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 1300	
10 11	Los Angeles, CA 90010-1729 Telephone: 213.382.7600 Facsimile: 213.351.6050	
12 13	Attorneys for Plaintiff KAREN GOLINSKI	
14	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
16	OAKLAND DIVISION	
17		
18	KAREN GOLINSKI,	Case No. 4:10-cv-00257 (SBA)
19	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF KAREN
20	v.	GOLINSKI'S AMENDED NOTICE OF MOTION AND
21	UNITED STATES OFFICE OF PERSONNEL	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
22	MANAGEMENT, and JOHN BERRY, Director of the United States Office of Personnel	Date: June 15, 2010 Time: 1:00 p.m.
23	Management, in his official capacity,  Defendants.	Place: Courtroom 1, 4th Floor
24	Defendants.	United States Courthouse 1301 Clay Street
25		Oakland, California 94612
26		
27		
28		
	GOLINSKI'S AMENDED NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION FOR CASE NO. 4:10-cv-00257 (SBA) sf- 2814794	Preliminary Injunction

## AMENDED NOTICE OF MOTION AND MOTION

TO ALL DEFENDANTS:

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE THAT at 1:00 pm on Tuesday, June 15, 2010, or as soon thereafter as counsel may be heard, in the courtroom of the Honorable Saundra B. Armstrong, located at 1301 Clay Street, Oakland, CA 94612, plaintiff Karen Golinski will move for an order for a preliminary injunction pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 65 and Civil L.R. 65-1.

The motion seeks to enjoin the United States Office of Personnel Management and its director John Berry (collectively, "OPM"), and their respective agents, servants, employees, attorneys and those in active concert or participation with them or OPM, from violating Chief Judge Kozinski's November 19, 2009 Order in the proceeding *In the Matter of Karen Golinski*, No. 09-80173 (9th Cir.), pending further order of this Court. Specifically, defendants shall, as prescribed in the November 19, 2009 Order:

- [R]escind its guidance or directive to the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan and any other plan that Ms. Golinski's wife is not eligible to be enrolled as her spouse under the terms of the Federal Employees Health Benefits Program because of her sex or sexual orientation, and that the plans would violate their contracts with OPM by enrolling Ms. Golinski's wife as a beneficiary.
- [C]ease at once its interference with the jurisdiction of [the Ninth Circuit's EDR] tribunal. Specifically, OPM shall <u>not</u> advise Ms. Golinski's health plan, the Blue Cross and Blue Shield Service Benefit Plan, that providing coverage for Ms. Golinski's wife violates DOMA or any other federal law. Nor shall OPM interfere in any way with the delivery of health benefits to Ms. Golinski's wife on the basis of her sex or sexual orientation.

The motion is based upon this amended notice of motion and motion, the memorandum of points and authorities filed on January 26, 2010, the accompanying declarations and exhibits filed on that date, plaintiff's complaint, and such further evidence and arguments as may be presented.

This amended notice of motion and motion is identical to plaintiff's original notice of motion and motion, filed on January 26, 2010, except that defendant John Berry has been expressly added. Plaintiff's First Amended Complaint, filed March 8, 2010, added Mr. Berry in his official capacity as Director of the Office of Personnel Management to address sovereign immunity concerns raised by OPM.

Golinski's Amended Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary Injunction Case No. 4:10-cv-00257 (SBA) sf-2782758

## Case3:10-cv-00257-JSW Document34 Filed03/10/10 Page3 of 3

This amendment to plaintiff's notice and motion should not require any modification to		
the briefing schedule set by the Court. There is no substantive change to the relief sought by		
plaintiff, and no prejudice to any party if the briefing schedule remains unchanged. Plaintiff's		
original notice and motion was already directed to the Office of Personnel Management and its		
"agents" and "employees," which includes Mr. Berry. In addition, plaintiff has served defendant		
Berry with the original preliminary injunction motion and supporting papers, as well as the		
Court's briefing schedule for the motion.		
8		
Dated: March 10, 2010 MORR	ISON & FOERSTER LLP	
0 LAMB	LAMBDA LEGAL	
1		
2 By: _	/s/ James R. McGuire	
3	JAMES R. McGUIRE	
4	Attorneys for Plaintiff KAREN GOLINSKI	
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
0		
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	the briefing schedule set by the Court. There is no splaintiff, and no prejudice to any party if the briefing original notice and motion was already directed to the "agents" and "employees," which includes Mr. Berry Berry with the original preliminary injunction motion Court's briefing schedule for the motion.  Dated: March 10, 2010  MORR  LAMB  By:	

Golinski's Amended Notice of Motion and Motion for Preliminary Injunction Case No. 4:10-cv-00257 (SBA) sf-2814794